Advanced quantum information: entanglement and nonlocality

Alexander Streltsov

4th class March 23, 2022

Advanced quantum information

- Every Wednesday 15:15 17:00
- Literature:
 - Nielsen and Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press (2012)
 - Horodecki *et al.*, *Quantum entanglement*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009)
- Howework and lecture notes: http://qot.cent.uw.edu.pl/teaching/
- 2. Homework sheet to be submitted via email by 5. April



 Entanglement distillation and dilution Typical sequences Entanglement dilution Entanglement distillation LOCC and separable operations Mixed state entanglement distillation



 Entanglement distillation and dilution Typical sequences Entanglement dilution Entanglement distillation LOCC and separable operations Mixed state entanglement distillation



Entanglement distillation and dilution Typical sequences

Mixed state entanglement distillation



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

Consider a sequence x₁, x₂,..., x_m coming from m flips of a biased coin (0 = heads, 1 = tails)



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

- Consider a sequence x₁, x₂,..., x_m coming from m flips of a biased coin (0 = heads, 1 = tails)
- For large *m* certain sequences will be suppressed, they are **atypical** (e.g. 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, 1)



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

- Consider a sequence x₁, x₂,..., x_m coming from m flips of a biased coin (0 = heads, 1 = tails)
- For large *m* certain sequences will be suppressed, they are **atypical** (e.g. 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, 1)
- **Typical sequences:** sequences that are most likely to appear for large *m*



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

 ϵ -typical sequence: sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables x_i such that

$$2^{-m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} \le p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)}$$



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

 ϵ -typical sequence: sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables x_i such that

$$2^{-m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} \le p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)}$$

Exercise: For $\epsilon = 0.01$ and m = 10 is the sequence 1, 1, ..., 1, 1 ϵ -typical?



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

 ϵ -typical sequence: sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables x_i such that

$$2^{-m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} \le p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)}$$

Solution:

• For m = 10 we have $p(1, 1, ..., 1, 1) = \frac{1}{3^{10}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

 ϵ -typical sequence: sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables x_i such that

$$2^{-m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} \le p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)}$$

Solution:

- For m = 10 we have $p(1, 1, ..., 1, 1) = \frac{1}{3^{10}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$
- For $\epsilon = 0.01$ we get $2^{-m(H(p(x))\pm\epsilon)} \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$



P(heads) = 2/3 P(tails) = 1/3

 ϵ -typical sequence: sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables x_i such that

$$2^{-m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} \le p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)}$$

Solution:

- For m = 10 we have $p(1, 1, ..., 1, 1) = \frac{1}{3^{10}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$
- For $\epsilon = 0.01$ we get $2^{-m(H(p(x))\pm\epsilon)} \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$
- \Rightarrow 1, 1, ..., 1, 1 is not ϵ -typical

Theorem of typical sequences:

(1) Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For any $\delta > 0$, for sufficiently large *m* the probability that a sequence is ϵ -typical is at least $1 - \delta$:

$$\sum_{x \in -\text{typical}} p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m) > 1 - \delta.$$

Theorem of typical sequences:

(1) Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For any $\delta > 0$, for sufficiently large *m* the probability that a sequence is ϵ -typical is at least $1 - \delta$:

$$\sum_{x \in -\text{typical}} p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m) > 1 - \delta.$$

(2) For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, for sufficiently large *m*, the number $|T(m, \epsilon)|$ of ϵ -typical sequences satisfies

$$(1-\delta)2^{m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)} \le |T(m,\epsilon)| \le 2^{m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)}$$



Entanglement distillation and dilution

Typical sequences Entanglement dilution

Mixed state entanglement distillation





Entanglement dilution: LOCC protocol transforming *n* singlets into *m* copies of $|\psi\rangle$



Entanglement dilution: LOCC protocol transforming *n* singlets into *m* copies of $|\psi\rangle$

Entanglement cost of $|\psi\rangle$: minimal fraction $\frac{n}{m}$ in the limit $n \to \infty$

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Proof. Suppose an entangled state $|\psi\rangle$ has Schmidt decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x} \sqrt{p(x)} |x\rangle^{A} \otimes |x\rangle^{B}.$$

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Proof. Suppose an entangled state $|\psi\rangle$ has Schmidt decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x} \sqrt{p(x)} |x\rangle^{A} \otimes |x\rangle^{B}.$$

The state $|\psi_m\rangle := |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ can be written as

$$|\psi_m\rangle = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{\rho(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\rho(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Proof. Suppose an entangled state $|\psi\rangle$ has Schmidt decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x} \sqrt{p(x)} |x\rangle^{A} \otimes |x\rangle^{B}.$$

The state $|\psi_m\rangle := |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ can be written as

$$|\psi_m\rangle = \sum_{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Define $|\phi_m\rangle$ by omitting terms x_1, \ldots, x_m which are not ϵ -typical:

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B.$$

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Normalize this state by defining

$$|\phi_m'
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \phi_m | \phi_m
angle}} |\phi_m
angle.$$

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Normalize this state by defining

$$|\phi_m'
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \phi_m | \phi_m
angle}} |\phi_m
angle.$$

Consider the scalar product $\langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle$:

$$\langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \phi_m | \phi_m \rangle}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)$$
$$= \sqrt{\sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)}$$

$$\langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = \sqrt{\sum_{x \in -\text{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)}$$

$$\langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = \sqrt{\sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)}$$

Part (1) of the theorem of typical sequences implies that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)\right) = 1,$$

and thus

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = 1.$$

$$\langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = \sqrt{\sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)}$$

Part (1) of the theorem of typical sequences implies that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\sum_{x \ \epsilon-\text{typical}}p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)\right)=1,$$

and thus

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle \psi_m | \phi'_m \rangle = 1.$$

 $\Rightarrow |\phi'_m\rangle$ is a good approximation of $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ in the limit $m \to \infty$

 Alice prepares the state |φ'_m⟩ locally, and teleports half of it over to Bob

- Alice prepares the state |φ'm⟩ locally, and teleports half of it over to Bob
- Part (2) of the theorem of typical sequences ⇒ number of nonzero Schmidt coefficients of

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon- ext{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1) \dots p(x_m)} |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^B$$

(and thus $|\phi'_m\rangle$) is at most $2^{m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} = 2^{m(S(\rho_{\psi})+\epsilon)}$

- Alice prepares the state |φ'm⟩ locally, and teleports half of it over to Bob
- Part (2) of the theorem of typical sequences ⇒ number of nonzero Schmidt coefficients of

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon- ext{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1) \dots p(x_m)} |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^B$$

(and thus $|\phi'_m\rangle$) is at most $2^{m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} = 2^{m(S(\rho_{\psi})+\epsilon)}$

 Recall Proposition 4.1.: for a state with k nonzero Schmidt coefficients teleportation can be done by consuming [log₂ k] Bell states

- Alice prepares the state $|\phi_m'\rangle$ locally, and teleports half of it over to Bob
- Part (2) of the theorem of typical sequences ⇒ number of nonzero Schmidt coefficients of

$$|\phi_m\rangle = \sum_{x \ \epsilon- ext{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1) \dots p(x_m)} |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 \dots x_m\rangle^B$$

(and thus $|\phi'_m\rangle$) is at most $2^{m(H(p(x))+\epsilon)} = 2^{m(S(\rho_{\psi})+\epsilon)}$

- Recall Proposition 4.1.: for a state with k nonzero Schmidt coefficients teleportation can be done by consuming [log₂ k] Bell states
- \Rightarrow Teleportation of $|\phi_m'\rangle$ can be performed by using at most

$$n = \left\lceil m(S(\rho_{\psi}) + \epsilon)
ight
ceil$$

Bell states

• Teleportation of $|\phi_m'\rangle$ can be performed by using at most

$$n = \left\lceil m(S(\rho_{\psi}) + \epsilon)
ight
ceil$$

Bell states

- Teleportation of $|\phi_m'
angle$ can be performed by using at most

$$n = \left\lceil m(S(
ho_{\psi}) + \epsilon)
ight
ceil$$

Bell states

• For the ratio n/m we obtain $\frac{n}{m} \approx S(\rho_{\psi}) + \epsilon$

- Teleportation of $|\phi_m'
angle$ can be performed by using at most

$$n = \left\lceil m(S(
ho_{\psi}) + \epsilon)
ight
ceil$$

Bell states

- For the ratio n/m we obtain $\frac{n}{m} \approx S(\rho_{\psi}) + \epsilon$
- \Rightarrow Entanglement cost of $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$ Q.E.D.
Entanglement dilution

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Entanglement dilution

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$, where $\rho_{\psi} = \text{Tr}_{B}[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$ is the reduced state of Alice.

Exercise: Estimate the entanglement cost for the state $|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|00\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|11\rangle$. Can entanglement cost be larger than 1?

Outline

Entanglement distillation and dilution

Typical sequences

Entanglement distillation

Mixed state entanglement distillation





Entanglement distillation: reverse of entanglement dilution, LOCC protocol converting mcopies of $|\psi\rangle$ into n singlets



Entanglement distillation: reverse of entanglement dilution, LOCC protocol converting mcopies of $|\psi\rangle$ into n singlets

Distillable entanglement of $|\psi\rangle$: maximal fraction $\frac{n}{m}$ in the limit $m \to \infty$

Proposition 5.2. The distillable entanglement of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at least $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proposition 5.2. The distillable entanglement of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at least $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Suppose that Alice and Bob share

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Proposition 5.2. The distillable entanglement of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at least $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Suppose that Alice and Bob share

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B$$

Alice performs a projective measurement with Kraus operators

$$\Pi_0 = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_m|$$

and $\Pi_1 = \mathbb{1} - \Pi_0$.

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B .$$
$$\Pi_0 = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1x_2\dots x_m|$$

 $x \in \overline{-typical}$

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^B$$
$$\Pi_0 = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_m|$$

Exercise: evaluate $p_0 = \text{Tr}[(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \psi \rangle \langle \psi |^{\otimes m}]$

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} &= \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^B \,. \\ \Pi_0 &= \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_m| \end{split}$$

Probability of measurement outcome 0:

$$p_0 = \mathsf{Tr}[(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \psi
angle \langle \psi |^{\otimes m}] = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \mathrm{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)$$

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^B$$
$$\Pi_0 = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_m|$$

Probability of measurement outcome 0:

$$p_0 = \mathsf{Tr}[(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \psi
angle \langle \psi |^{\otimes m}] = \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \mathrm{typical}} p(x_1) p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)$$

Part (1) of theorem of typical sequences: $p_0 > 1 - \delta$ for *m* large enough

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} &= \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} \, |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^B \, . \\ \Pi_0 &= \sum_{x \in -\text{typical}} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_m| \end{split}$$

Post-measurement state of Alice and Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_m'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \left(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2) \dots p(x_m)} |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1 x_2 \dots x_m\rangle^B \end{aligned}$$

Post-measurement state of Alice and Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_m'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \left(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B \end{aligned}$$

Post-measurement state of Alice and Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_m'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \left(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B \end{aligned}$$

• By definition of ϵ -typical sequences:

$$p(x_1)p(x_2)...p(x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)} = 2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}$$

Post-measurement state of Alice and Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_m'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \left(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B \end{aligned}$$

• By definition of ϵ -typical sequences:

$$p(x_1)p(x_2)...p(x_m) \le 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)} = 2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}$$

Part (1) of theorem of typical sequences: p₀ > 1 − δ for any δ > 0 and *m* large enough

Post-measurement state of Alice and Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_m'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \left(\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) |\psi\rangle^{\otimes m} = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} \sum_{x \ \epsilon - \text{typical}} \sqrt{p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m)} |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^A \otimes |x_1x_2\dots x_m\rangle^B \end{aligned}$$

• By definition of ϵ -typical sequences:

$$p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_m) \leq 2^{-m(H(p(x))-\epsilon)} = 2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}$$

- Part (1) of theorem of typical sequences: p₀ > 1 − δ for any δ > 0 and *m* large enough
- \Rightarrow largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$$

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_\psi)-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_\psi)-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose *n* such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_\psi)-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose n such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

• Schmidt coefficients of $|\phi_m'
angle$ correspond to eigenvalues of $ho_{\phi_m'}$

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi_m'\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose n such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

- Schmidt coefficients of $|\phi_m'\rangle$ correspond to eigenvalues of $\rho_{\phi_m'}$
- \Rightarrow all eigenvalues of $\rho_{\phi'_m}$ are at most 2^{-n}

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose *n* such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

- Schmidt coefficients of $|\phi_m'\rangle$ correspond to eigenvalues of $\rho_{\phi_m'}$
- \Rightarrow all eigenvalues of $\rho_{\phi'_m}$ are at most 2^{-n}
- $\Rightarrow \vec{\lambda}_{\phi'_m}$ is majorized by the vector

$$\vec{v} = (\underbrace{2^{-n}, 2^{-n}, \dots, 2^{-n}}_{2^n \text{ times}}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_\psi)-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose n such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

•
$$\Rightarrow \vec{\lambda}_{\phi'_m}$$
 is majorized by the vector

$$\vec{v} = (\underbrace{2^{-n}, 2^{-n}, \dots, 2^{-n}}_{2^n \text{ times}}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

• Theorem 2.1.: $|\phi'_m\rangle$ can then be converted into *n* singlets via LOCC

Largest Schmidt coefficient of $|\phi'_m\rangle$ is at most

 $\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta}$

Choose n such that

$$\frac{2^{-m(S(\rho_{\psi})-\epsilon)}}{1-\delta} \leq 2^{-n}$$

•
$$\Rightarrow \vec{\lambda}_{\phi'_m}$$
 is majorized by the vector
 $\vec{v} = (\underbrace{2^{-n}, 2^{-n}, \dots, 2^{-n}}_{2^n \text{ times}}, 0, \dots, 0$

- Theorem 2.1.: $|\phi'_m\rangle$ can then be converted into *n* singlets via LOCC
- ϵ and δ can be chosen arbitrary small $\Rightarrow n/m$ arbitrary close to $S(\rho_{\psi})$ in the limit of large m

Q.E.D.

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proposition 5.2. The distillable entanglement of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at least $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proposition 5.1. The entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at most $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proposition 5.2. The distillable entanglement of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is at least $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

• Proposition 5.1. \Rightarrow Alice and Bob can convert $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ such that $k/m \approx S(\rho_{\psi})$

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

- Proposition 5.1. \Rightarrow Alice and Bob can convert $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ such that $k/m \approx S(\rho_{\psi})$
- $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ is then converted into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$ with $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

- Proposition 5.1. \Rightarrow Alice and Bob can convert $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ such that $k/m \approx S(\rho_{\psi})$
- $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ is then converted into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$ with $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$
- In summary: Alice and Bob converted $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$, where

$$n \approx mS = k \frac{S}{S(\rho_{\psi})} > k$$

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

• Alice and Bob converted $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$, where

$$n \approx mS = k \frac{S}{S(\rho_{\psi})} > k$$

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

• Alice and Bob converted $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$, where

$$n \approx mS = k \frac{S}{S(\rho_{\psi})} > k$$

• **Contradiction:** Increasing the number of singlets via LOCC is impossible!

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

• Alice and Bob converted $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$, where

$$n \approx mS = k \frac{S}{S(\rho_{\psi})} > k$$

- Contradiction: Increasing the number of singlets via LOCC is impossible!
- \Rightarrow assumption was wrong \Rightarrow distillable entanglement of $|\psi\rangle$ is equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$
Entanglement distillation and dilution

Theorem 5.1. The distillable entanglement and entanglement cost of a state $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$ are equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$.

Proof. Assume that there exists an LOCC protocol converting $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes m}$ into $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes n}$ such that $\frac{n}{m} \approx S > S(\rho_{\psi})$

• Alice and Bob converted $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes k}$ into $|\Psi^{-}\rangle^{\otimes n}$, where

$$n \approx mS = k \frac{S}{S(\rho_{\psi})} > k$$

- **Contradiction:** Increasing the number of singlets via LOCC is impossible!
- \Rightarrow assumption was wrong \Rightarrow distillable entanglement of $|\psi\rangle$ is equal to $S(\rho_{\psi})$
- Proof for entanglement cost by similar reasoning. Q.E.D.

Outline

Entanglement distillation and dilution

Typical sequences LOCC and separable operations Mixed state entanglement distillation

LOCC and separable operations

• Any LOCC protocol is a separable operation:

$$\rho^{AB} \to \Lambda_{\text{LOCC}}\left(\rho^{AB}\right) = \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

LOCC and separable operations

• Any LOCC protocol is a separable operation:

$$\rho^{AB} \to \Lambda_{\text{LOCC}}\left(\rho^{AB}\right) = \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• Completeness condition:

$$\sum_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger} B_{i} = \mathbb{1}_{AB}$$

LOCC and separable operations

• Any LOCC protocol is a separable operation:

$$\rho^{AB} \to \Lambda_{\text{LOCC}}\left(\rho^{AB}\right) = \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• Completeness condition:

$$\sum_i oldsymbol{A}_i^\dagger oldsymbol{A}_i \otimes oldsymbol{B}_i^\dagger oldsymbol{B}_i = \mathbb{1}_{oldsymbol{AB}}$$

Not every separable operation is an LOCC

• Stochastic LOCC transformation:

$$\rho^{AB} \to \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• Stochastic LOCC transformation:

$$\rho^{AB} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• (In)completeness condition:

$$\sum_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger} B_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{AB}$$

• Stochastic LOCC transformation:

$$\rho^{AB} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• (In)completeness condition:

$$\sum_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger} B_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{AB}$$

• Probability of the transformation:

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}\right]$$

• Stochastic LOCC transformation:

$$\rho^{AB} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes B_{i} \rho^{AB} A_{i}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger}$$

• (In)completeness condition:

$$\sum_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i} \otimes B_{i}^{\dagger} B_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{AB}$$

• Probability of the transformation:

$$oldsymbol{
ho} = \mathsf{Tr} \left[\sum_i oldsymbol{A}_i \otimes oldsymbol{B}_i
ho^{oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{B}} oldsymbol{A}_i^\dagger \otimes oldsymbol{B}_i^\dagger
ight]$$

Stochastic LOCC transformation mapping *H_{AB}* onto the space of two qubits: *A_i* is a 2 × *d_A* rectangular matrix, *B_i* is a 2 × *d_B* rectangular matrix

Outline

Entanglement distillation and dilution

Typical sequences Mixed state entanglement distillation





Entanglement distillation for **mixed states:** converting *m* copies of ρ into *n* singlets in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$



Entanglement distillation for **mixed states:** converting *m* copies of ρ into *n* singlets in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$

Exercise: can a separable state $\rho_{sep} = \sum_{i} p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \otimes |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ be distilled into singlets?

Separable states cannot be distilled into singlets:

Separable states cannot be distilled into singlets:

• Section 5.5.: stochastic LOCC brings $\rho^{\otimes m}$ to

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{j} A_{j} \otimes B_{j} \rho^{\otimes m} A_{j}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{j}^{\dagger}$$

with probability $p = \text{Tr}[\sum_{j} A_{j} \otimes B_{j} \rho^{\otimes m} A_{j}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{j}^{\dagger}]$

Separable states cannot be distilled into singlets:

• Section 5.5.: stochastic LOCC brings $\rho^{\otimes m}$ to

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{j} A_{j} \otimes B_{j} \rho^{\otimes m} A_{j}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{j}^{\dagger}$$

with probability $p = \text{Tr}[\sum_{j} A_{j} \otimes B_{j} \rho^{\otimes m} A_{j}^{\dagger} \otimes B_{j}^{\dagger}]$

• If ρ is separable $\Rightarrow \rho^{\otimes m}$ is separable $\Rightarrow \sigma$ is separable